

OPEN LETTER – Goiânia Letter

Letter of Repudiation Against the Eco-Genocide of the Cerrado

Organizers of the 5th National Week of the Cerrado

The Cerrado beats as the biogeographical heart of Brazil. It is the cradle of waters, the guardian of sociobiodiversity, and the territory of countless peoples who, for centuries, have cultivated ways of life that sustain the land and the collective good. However, this very heart is being torn apart by fire, poison, and greed. In view of the worsening environmental and human destruction affecting this biome, we, the organizers of the 5th National Week of the Cerrado (V SENACER), gathered in September 2025, publicly express our repudiation of the ongoing eco-genocide in the Cerrado and the systematic violation of the rights of its peoples and traditional communities..

1. A Crime Against Life, Nature, and Peoples

Eco-genocide — a concept reaffirmed by the Permanent Peoples’ Tribunal (PPT) during the Session in Defense of the Territories of the Cerrado (Rome/Goiânia, 2022) — designates the deliberate and coordinated destruction of the biome and the physical, cultural, and symbolic extermination of its peoples. It represents the contemporary face of a historical process of colonization and territorial usurpation, initiated with the occupation of the sertões in the 20th century and intensified by the so-called Green Revolution, which transformed the Cerrado into the frontier of agribusiness and a laboratory for a devastating agro-export model.

Today, more than 50% of the Cerrado’s original vegetation cover has already been destroyed. Rivers are drying up, springs are dying, and species are disappearing.

The air and soil are contaminated by millions of liters of pesticides released annually by airplanes that poison crops, animals, and people. Criminal fires multiply, turning forests into ashes and forcing entire communities to flee.

But the Cerrado does not die alone: with it perish the peoples who protect it — Indigenous, quilombola, babaçu nut breakers, geraizeiros, veredeiros, riverine communities, family farmers, and many others who, for centuries, have cared for this territory with wisdom and affection.

2. The Verdict of the Earth: Denunciation and Justice

In 2022, the International Jury of the Permanent Peoples’ Tribunal (TPP, in Portuguese) condemned the Brazilian State, foreign States, and national and international corporations for the crimes of ecocide and genocide committed against the Cerrado and its peoples. The verdict recognized that such practices constitute systemic crimes, driven by land grabbing, predatory mining, the expansion of monocultures, and the intensive use of pesticides — policies that violate human, territorial, and environmental rights, and threaten the global climate balance.

We, the signatories of this Letter, reaffirm the substance of that condemnation.

We repudiate the historical and institutional negligence of the Brazilian State, which continues to allow and encourage the destruction of the Cerrado in the name of economic interests and the profit of a few, to the detriment of the lives of millions.

We also denounce the daily violence perpetrated against environmental defenders, community leaders, and Indigenous peoples, who have been persecuted, criminalized, and murdered for protecting what belongs to all: life.

3. For the Resistance of the Peoples and for Climate Justice

The Cerrado endures because its peoples resist. The strength of the babaçu nut breakers, the guardians of the veredas (wetlands), the young educators, the quilombola and Indigenous women, the farmers, and the researchers who take part in the 5th National Week of the Cerrado (V SENACER) keeps alive the hope for a sustainable future.

Inspired by Freirean Pedagogy, we reaffirm the importance of dialogue between scientific and traditional knowledge, and we recognize the transformative role of public education and outreach in cultivating a critical awareness in the face of the climate crisis. Thus, the V SENACER stands as a political-pedagogical act of resistance, in defense of the Cerrado as a territory of life — not a commodity.

4. Inclusion of the Cerrado Week in the Academic Calendar

We urge the states and municipalities located within the territory of the Cerrado and its transitional areas to include the Cerrado Week in their school calendars as a public policy for environmental education and identity appreciation.

Such a measure – following the example of the Federal District, where Law No. 7,053, published in the Official Gazette of the Federal District on January 6, 2022, includes the Cerrado Week in the school calendar of the public education system, held annually from

September 5 to 11 – represents an important instrument for social mobilization, civic education, and defense of the biome..

5. Our Demands

In light of the legacy of the Permanent Peoples’ Tribunal (TPP) and the recommendations of its ruling, we demand:

1. Constitutional recognition of the Cerrado and the Caatinga as national heritage, through the immediate approval of Constitutional Amendment Proposal (PEC) 504/2010, under the motto “*Present wealth, future legacy*”;
2. Immediate demarcation and titling of Indigenous, Quilombola, and traditional territories;
3. An end to policies that promote destructive agribusiness, mining, and megaprojects that violate human and environmental rights;
4. A ban on aerial spraying of pesticides and an urgent revision of legislation that enables the indiscriminate use of toxic chemicals;
5. Protection of the waters of the Cerrado, ensuring prioritized and sustainable access for local communities;
6. Creation of permanent public policies for food sovereignty, agroecology, and social technologies that respect Cerrado ways of life;
7. Investigation and accountability of companies, and of public and private agents, involved in environmental crimes and rural violence;
8. Continuous investment in critical environmental education and curricular restructuring to include traditional knowledge, appreciation of the Cerrado, and teacher training focused on sustainability — promoting ecological awareness and commitment to the preservation of the biome;
9. Inclusion of the Cerrado Week in the academic calendars of municipal and state education systems in regions within the biome and its transitional areas.

6. Call to the Powers and to Society

We submit this Letter of Repudiation against the Eco-Genocide of the Cerrado to the Executive, Legislative, and Judicial Powers, to the Coordination of COP 30, and to national and international institutions dedicated to human rights and the environment.

We also call upon all Brazilian society to recognize that there can be no climate justice without a living Cerrado.

Without its waters, its peoples, and its biodiversity, no future is possible.

May this Letter be more than a denunciation — may it be a seed.

A seed of hope, of mobilization, and of justice — one that may blossom in every school, community, university, and territory of the Cerrado, and resonate throughout the entire country and beyond, carrying forth the voice that cries out:

“Stop the eco-genocide! The Cerrado is life, and life is not negotiable.”

Goiânia, October 31, 2025.

Signed,

Members of the Organizing Committee of the 5th National Week of the Cerrado – “Peoples, Knowledge, and Nature of the Cerrado: Resistance to the Climate Crisis”

1. Adeilton Oliveira de Souza, Instituto Federal de Brasília – IFB (E-mail: adeilton.souza@ifb.edu.br).
2. Adriano Antonio Brito Darosci, Instituto Federal Goiano – IF Goiano (E-mail: adriano.darosci@ifgoiano.edu.br).
3. Alba Pedreira Vieira, Universidade Federal de Viçosa – UFV, (E-mail: apvieira@ufv.br).
4. Alcineia Pereira do Nascimento, Secretaria de Estado da Educação de Goiás – SEDUC-GO (E-mail: alcineiap2@gmail.com).
5. Aline de Fátima Marques, Universidade Federal de Jataí – UFJ (E-mail: aline.marques@discente.ufj.edu.br).
6. Ana Carolina de Oliveira Motta, Instituto Federal de Goiás – IFG (E-mail: ana.motta@ifg.edu.br).
7. Ana Katharina Pereira Navarro, Instituto Federal de Brasília – IFB (E-mail: anaa.kathw@gmail.com).

8. Ana Maria Mapeli, Universidade Federal do Oeste da Bahia – UFOP (E-mail: mmapeli@ufob.edu.br).
9. Anderson Ernane de Souza Nascimento, Centro Universitário Unifatecie (E-mail: anderson.hernane@gmail.com).
10. André Luiz Silva Oliveira, Universidade Federal de Goiás – UFG (E-mail: andreluiz@ufg.br).
11. Bruna Vieira Nunes, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais – UFMG (E-mail: brunavieiranunes@gmail.com).
12. Bruno Fiorese Fernandes, Instituto Federal de Goiás – IFG (E-mail: bruno.fiorese@ifg.edu.br).
13. Camila Aoki, Universidade Federal de Mato Grosso do Sul – UFMS (E-mail: camila.aoki@ufms.br).
14. Clesio Barbosa Lemos Júnior, Universidade do Estado de Minas Gerais – UEMG (E-mail: clesio.junior@uemg.br).
15. Daisy Luzia do Nascimento Silva Caetano, Instituto Federal de Goiás – IFG, e Associação dos Geógrafos Brasileiros-Seção Goiânia – AGB-Seção Goiânia (E-mail: daisy.caetano@ifg.edu.br).
16. Daniela Pereira da Silva Carvalho, Universidade Estadual de Campinas – UNICAMP (E-mail: d272517@dac.unicamp.br).
17. Davi Silva Fagundes, Secretaria de Estado da Educação do Distrito Federal – SEDF, e Programa Decarbonize Brasília (E-mail: decarbonize.brasilia@gmail.com).
18. Deise Katiuscia Xavier Kaisa Oliveira, Centro Universitário de Mineiros – UNIFIMES (E-mail: deise@unifimes.edu.br).
19. Divina Aparecida Leonel Lunas, Universidade Estadual de Goiás – UEG (E-mail: divina.lunas@ueg.br / teccer@ueg.br).
20. Diorny da Silva Reis, Instituto Federal do Norte de Minas Gerais – IFNMG (E-mail: diorny.reis@ifnmg.edu.br).
21. Djane Victoria Pessoa Ferreira, Universidade de Brasília – UnB (E-mail: victoriapessoa13@gmail.com).
22. Edna Lopes Miranda, Universidade Federal de Mato Grosso do Sul – UFMS (E-mail: edna.miranda@ufms.br).
23. Enio Rodovalho dos Santos, Universidade Federal de Goiás – UFG (E-mail: eniorodovalho@ufg.br).

24. Ereni Maria de Jesus, Associação de Moradores do Bairro Jardim Inconfidência de Uberlândia/MG – AMJI-Uberlândia/MG (ereni1965@yahoo.com.br).
25. Fausto Makishi, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais – UFMG (E-mail: faustomakishi@gmail.com).
26. Fernanda Keley Silva Pereira Navarro, Instituto Federal de Brasília – IFB (E-mail: fernanda.navarro@ifb.edu.br).
27. Flomar Ambrosina Oliveira Chagas, Instituto Federal de Goiás – IFG (E-mail: flomarchagas@gmail.com).
28. Gabriel Pereira Lopes, Instituto Federal do Triângulo Mineiro – IFTM (E-mail: gabriellopes@iftm.edu.br).
29. Giseli Gomes Dalla Nora, Universidade Federal de Mato Grosso – UFMT (E-mail: giseli.nora@gmail.com).
30. Giselle Alves Dias de Sousa, Instituto Federal de Goiás – IFG (E-mail: giselle.dias@ifg.edu.br).
31. Gislene Auxiliadora Ferreira, Universidade Federal de Goiás – UFG (E-mail: gislene.ferreira@ufg.br).
32. Gustavo Rodrigues Morgado, Instituto Federal do Norte de Minas Gerais – IFNMG (gustavo.moragado@ifnmg.edu.br).
33. Isabela Cristina Gomes Honório, Universidade do Estado de Minas Gerais – UEMG (E-mail: isabela.honorio@uemg.br).
34. Jefferson Rabal, AGA Brasil e Associação Brota Cerrado de Certificação Participativa (E-mail: jefferson@agabrasil.org.br).
35. José Elizaldo Araujo da Silva, Universidade Federal do Maranhão – UFMA (E-mail: josehhelizaldo@gmail.com).
36. Juliana Marzinek, Universidade Federal de Uberlândia – UFU (E-mail: jmarzinek@ufu.br).
37. Juliana Ramalho Barros, Universidade Federal de Goiás – UFG (E-mail: juliana@ufg.br).
38. Juliano André Bogoni, Universidade do Estado de Mato Grosso – UNEMAT (E-mail: bogoni.ja@gmail.com).
39. Katia Alcione Kopp, Universidade Federal de Goiás – UFG (E-mail: kakopp@ufg.br).
40. Kênia Gonçalves Costa, Universidade Federal do Norte do Tocantins – UFNT (E-mail: kenia.costa@ufnt.edu.br).

41. Larissa Cristina Dias Limírio, Instituto Chico Mendes de Conservação da Biodiversidade – ICMBio (E-mail: larissa.limirio@icmbio.gov.br).
42. Larissa de Mello Evangelista, Universidade Federal de Goiás – UFG (E-mail: larissa.evangelista@ufg.br).
43. Leide Dayanne Silva de Sousa, Universidade Estadual de Goiás – UEG (E-mail: leide.sousa@ueg.br).
44. Leonora Malheiro Ferreira, Escola Municipal de Educação Integral Retiro do Bosque – Secretária Municipal de Educação de Aparecida de Goiânia/GO – SME-Aparecida de Goiânia/GO (E-mail: mestrado.malheiro@gmail.com).
45. Leticia de Almeida Nogueira e Moura, Instituto Federal de Goiás – IFG (E-mail: leticia.moura@ifg.edu.br).
46. Luciana Alves de Oliveira, Universidade Federal de Goiás – UFG (E-mail: lucianaicb@ufg.br).
47. Manuel Eduardo Ferreira, Universidade Federal de Goiás – UFG (E-mail: manuel@ufb.br).
48. Marcelo Bruno Araújo Queiroz, Instituto Federal do Piauí – IFPI (E-mail: marcelobrunoqueiroz@gmail.com).
49. Marcelo Kuhlmann Peres, Universidade de Brasília – UnB (E-mail: biom.fq21@gmail.com).
50. Márcia de Negreiros Viana, Instituto Federal do Ceará – IFCE (E-mail: marcia.viana@ifce.edu.br).
51. Marco Antônio Gomes de Carvalho, Instituto Federal de Goiás – IFG, e Sociedade Porto das Antas (E-mail: marco.carvalho@ifg.edu.br).
52. Marco Aurélio Cardoso, Universidade Federal de Goiás – UFG (E-mail: aureliocardoso@ufg.br).
53. Maria Aparecida de Sá Xavier, Secretaria Municipal de Educação do Rio de Janeiro – SME-Rio de Janeiro/RJ e Universidade Federal do Estado do Rio de Janeiro – UNIRIO (E-mail: airamxavier@yahoo.com.br).
54. Maria Cristina Sanches, Universidade Federal de Uberlândia – UFU (E-mail: sanchesmc@ufu.br).
55. Marjorie Karoline Duarte Araujo do Couto, Centro Universitário Adventista de São Paulo – UNASP (E-mail: mkplan.arquitetura@gmail.com).
56. Marluce Silva Sousa, Instituto Federal de Goiás – IFG (E-mail: marluce.sousa@ifg.edu.br).

57. Mauricio Vieira Gomes da Silva, Universidade Federal do ABC – UFABC (E-mail: mauricio.vieira@ufabc.edu.br).
58. Mayra Vannessa Lizcano Toledo, Universidade Estadual Paulista – UNESP (E-mail: mayra.lizcano@unesp.br).
59. Michel Mendes, Universidade Federal de Goiás – UFG (E-mail: michel.mendes@ufg.br).
60. Milena Muniz de Sousa Alves, Universidade de Brasília – UnB (E-mail: milenamunizpidf@gmail.com).
61. Miriam Antonia Soares Filha, Instituto Federal de Goiás – IFG (E-mail: miriam.soares@ifg.edu.br).
62. Murilo Mendonça Oliveira de Souza, Universidade Estadual de Goiás – UEG (E-mail: murilo.souza@ueg.br).
63. Nayara da Silva Ribeiro, Universidade do Estado de Mato Grosso – UNEMAT (E-mail: nayara.ribeiro1@unemat.br).
64. Natália Tolêdo Sacchetto, Universidade Federal de Viçosa – UFV (E-mail: natalia.sacchetto@ufv.br).
65. Octaviano Khalil Axcar, Instituto Fruto Brasil (E-mail: khalil@frutourbano.org.br).
66. Pablo Martins Bernadi Coelho, Universidade do Estado de Minas Gerais – UEMG (E-mail: pablo.coelho@uemg.br).
67. Paula Benevides de Moraes, Universidade Federal do Tocantins – UFT (E-mail: moraisspb@mail.uft.edu.br).
68. Pedro Neves da Rocha, Universidade Estadual Paulista – UNESP (E-mail: pedro.n.rocha@unesp.br).
69. Plínio Alexandre dos Santos Caetano, Instituto Federal de São Paulo – IFSP (E-mail: plinio@ifsp.edu.br).
70. Rafael Amaral Shayani, Universidade de Brasília – UnB (E-mail: shayani@unb.br).
71. Rafael Costa Leite, Universidade Estadual do Maranhão – UEMA (E-mail: rafael.loreto12@gmail.com).
72. Rafael Gustavo Capinzaiki Ottonicar, Serviço Nacional de Aprendizagem Comercial de Bauru/SP – SENAI-Bauru/SP (E-mail: rafael.gcottonicar@sp.senac.br).
73. Raíza Dias Amaral, Escola Estadual Professor Henrique de Matos, Secretaria de Estado da Educação de Minas Gerais – SEE-MG (E-mail: raizamaral@gmail.com).
74. Renata Alves de Paiva Fortes, Universidade Federal de Goiás – UFG (E-mail: renata_alves@discente.ufg.br).

75. Renato Fonseca de Arruda, Universidade do Estado de Mato Grosso – UNEMAT (E-mail: fonsecaarruda@gmail.com).
76. Ricardo Takayuki Tadokoro, Instituto Federal Goiano – IF Goiano (E-mail: ricardo.tadokoro@ifgoiano.edu.br).
77. Rodrigo Marciel Soares Dutra, Instituto Federal de Goiás – IFG (E-mail: rodrigo.dutra@ifg.edu.br).
78. Rosa Maria de Brito Steckelberg, Universidade Federal de Goiás – UFG (E-mail: rosagoianesia2@gmail.com).
79. Rosângela Azevedo Corrêa, Museu do Cerrado / Faculdade de Educação / Universidade de Brasília – UnB (E-mail: museudocerrado.unb@gmail.com).
80. Rúbia Cristina Diógenes Pinheiro, Instituto Federal de Goiás – IFG (E-mail: rubia.pinheiro@ifg.edu.br).
81. Sérgio Willians de Oliveira Rodrigues, Universidade Federal de Goiás – UFG (E-mail: swor@ufg.br).
82. Silvana Afonso Costa, Escola Estadual de Ensino Fundamental e Médio Álvares de Azevedo – Secretária de Estado da Educação de Rondônia – SEDUC-RO (E-mail: p.sil.geo@gmail.com).
83. Solange Ikeda Castrillon, Universidade do Estado de Mato Grosso – UNEMAT (E-mail: solangeikeda@unemat.br).
84. Stephanni Gabriella Silva Sudré, Universidade Federal do Norte do Tocantins – UFNT (E-mail: stephanni.sudre@ufnt.edu.br).
85. Sula Salani Mota, Universidade do Distrito Federal – UnDF (E-mail: sula.salani@undf.edu.br).
86. Taciane Schröder Jorge, Universidade Federal de Uberlândia – UFU (E-mail: taci.jorge@gmail.com).
87. Thamyris Carvalho Andrade, Universidade Federal do Tocantins – UFT (E-mail: thamyris.andrade@mail.uft.edu.br).
88. Thiago Ruiz Zimmer, Instituto Federal de Goiás – IFG (E-mail: thiago.zimmer@ifg.edu.br).
89. Thomas Leonardo Marques de Castro Leal, Instituto Federal de Goiás – IFG (E-mail: thomas.leal@ifg.edu.br).
90. Valdivino Domingos de Oliveira Júnior, Universidade Federal de Viçosa – UFV (E-mail: valdivino.junior@ufv.br).
91. Vladia Correchel, Universidade Federal de Goiás – UFG, (E-mail: vladiaea@ufg.br).

92. Wanessa Silva Rocha, Conselho Regional de Engenharia e Agronomia de Goiás – CREA-GO (E-mail: wrochaamb@gmail.com).
93. Wéltime Teixeira Cunha, Instituto Federal da Bahia – IFBA (E-mail: weltima.cunha@ifba.edu.br).
94. Yule Roberta Ferreira Nunes, Universidade Estadual de Montes Claros – UNIMONTES (E-mail: yule.nunes@unimontes.br).